


Carmody, Jody

From: Cynthia Charest [cindycharest@ne.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 6:25 AM
To: Executive Director,
Subject: 09-067



11/10/09

Ms. Debra A. Howland
Executive Director and Secretary
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission
21 Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Re: Docket No. DE 09-067, Complaint of Clean Power Development, LLC
Against Public Service of New Hampshire

Dear Secretary Howland:

Least cost pertains to a legal obligation PSNH has in my opinion as a rate payer. The breadth of issues raised by petitioners for intervention has created the potential for a much broader spectrum of issues because they are very much related to the least cost obligation. Issues such as siting, environmental, economic development, fuel availability, forestry practices, public health and welfare are matters that impact least cost.

For the very purpose of the 2025 initiative, why wouldn't these issues be taken up before the PUC in whole to evaluate the entire picture and definition of the law as it pertains to least cost? How can one ignore important issues when evaluating the law pertinent to least cost?

Sincerely,

Jonathan Edwards

cc: Service List, Docket No. DE 09-067

11/10/2009